# CONSONANTAL VARIATION IN UTAH ENGLISH: WHAT EL[t]SE IS HAPPENING[k]?

Joseph A. Stanley

University of Georgia @joey\_stan Kyle Vanderniet

University of Georgia

joeystanley.com

The 4th Annual Linguistics Conference at UGA October 7, 2017 Athens, Georgia

### INTRODUCTION





### Utah English

Most of Utah English has concentrated on vowels

COT-CAUGHT merger (Baker & Bowie 2010, Di Paolo 1992, Sarver 2004)

Loss of tense-lax distinction before /l/

FEEL-FILL merger (Di Paolo & Faber 1990, Lillie 1998 Petersen 1988)

FAIL-FELL merger (Baker & Bowie 2010, Di Paolo & Faber 1990, Lillie 1998, Sarver 2004)

POOL-PULL merger (Baker & Bowie 2010, Petersen 1988, Sarver 2004)

CORD-CARD merger (Bowie 2003, 2008, 2012; Helquist 1970; Lillie 1998; Reeves 2009; Sarver 2004; Stanley & Renwick 2016)

/ai/-monophthongization (Morkel 2003, Skyes 2010)

PIN-PEN merger (Lillie 1998)



## This Study

We focus on three consonantal variables.

1. Realization of unstressed /tən/ in words like mountain, cotton, button, Latin, etc. See Eddington & Savage (2012)

2. [t]-epenthesis in /ls/ sequences as in false, Olsen, salsa, else, also, etc.

3. [k]-epenthesis after /ŋ/: talking, thing, morning Not common (Baker, Eddington & Nay 2009)

## DATA COLLECTION

Audio collected via Amazon Mechanical Turk (Kim et al. 2016; Stanley 2017)

- Target words embedded in 186 sentences from COCA.
- Also 87 items in a wordlist
- 5.5 hours from 14 Utahns

	[k]-insertion	MOUNTAIN	FALSE	total
sentences	1,534	198	163	1,895
wordlist	129	499	272	900
total	1,663	697	435	2,795

## CODING

MOUNTAIN: 3 variants

[?ņ]: mainstream pronunciation with syllabic nasal [?ɨn]: stereotypical Utah pronunciation without syllabic nasal [tʰɨn]: hyperarticulated with aspirated /t/.

FALSE: 2 variants presence/absense of [t]

[k]-insertion: 3 variants [ɪŋ]: mainstream pronunciation [ɪn]: "g-dropped" [ɪnk]: epenthesized [k] or [g]

# Mountain

#### MOUNTAIN

mountain, cotton, button, Latin, mutant, kitten, Clinton, Manhattan, gluten, certain... Henceforth the MOUNTAIN lexical set

Mainstream pronunciation: [?ņ]

Stereotypical Utah pronunciation: [?in] (Eddington & Savage 2012)

Hyperarticulated pronunciation: [t<sup>h</sup>in]

#### MOUNTAIN COMMENTARY

[?in] has shibboleth-level saliency (Savage 2014)

"Mou'uns" is a dead giveaway you're talking to a Utahn. –u/BizarroBednar on r/exmormon. Mar. 2012

Mountain= Mown'un: How could this NOT be the number one Utah-ism? <u>The dropped T is</u> <u>infamous</u>. But it doesn't just drop, it almost makes a staccato sound where the T should be when pronounced. -Daily Herald. Dec. 2012

The glottal stop carries stigma, not the vowel insertion:

The Impor-an Mow-un in Lay-un accent. <u>Pure laziness</u>. <u>People slopping through words [...]</u> Notice that these all substitute a glottal stop (<u>that horrible nasal pause</u> that comes from the back of your mouth [...]) for the actual T sound. \_\_Russanne's Utah Accent Post. Oct. 2012

#### MOUNTAIN DISTRIBUTION



## STEREOTYPICAL UTAH MOUN[?in]

education

ΒA

HS

ΒA

city

Ephraim .

Provo

moun[?in] exclusive to women in our sample.

pseudonym	[ <b>ʔɨ</b> n]	age	religion
Heather	3/68 = 4.4%	34	Mormon
Maddy	8/27 = 29.6%	24	Mormon
Mandy	18/38 = 47.4%	40	non-Mormon
Chelsea	57/68 = 83.8%	25	Mormon

Demographically relatively diverse, other than gender.

This generally supports Eddington & Savage (2012).



## HYPERARTICULATED MOUN[thin]

*moun*[t<sup>h</sup>in] twice as common as *moun*[?in].

All participants used this variant at least once.

pseudonym	[tʰɨn]	age	religion	education
Heather	25/68 = 36.8%	34	Mormon	BA
Maddy	17/27 = 63.0%	24	Mormon	some colleg
Zach	26/77 = 96.3%	24	Mormon	BA
Jared	66/68 = 97.1%	26	non-Mormon	HS



# MOUNTAIN MODELING (WOMEN)

We ran a multinomial logistic regression on the women's data Age and religion were significant! Yay! <u>But</u>, our speakers have ideosyncratic tendencies. We should included speaker as a random effect. But we don't know if a mixed-effects multinomial logistic regression even exists. (If anyone knows about this, please let us know!)

Instead, we ran two generalized linear mixed-effects models on the women's data. Nothing was significant (not even close)

General patterns were not discernible from the idiosyncrasies of our speakers.

# MOUNTAIN MODELING (MEN)

Only two variants (*moun*[?ņ] and *moun*[t<sup>h</sup>in]) so no multinomial logistic regression Generalized linear mixed-effects model

fixed effects: age, style (wordlist vs. sentence), religion (Mormon vs. non-Mormon) random effects: speaker, word

Results

marginal significance for age (p = 0.051) younger men use *moun*[t<sup>h</sup>in] more

## MOUNTAIN DISCUSSION

Mainstream *moun*[ʔņ] is the most common form overall. It was the preferred variant for 10 of our 14 Utahns. Chelsea was majority user of *moun*[ʔɨn] Zach, Jared, and Maddy were majority users of *moun*[tʰɨn]

This explains why *moun*[t<sup>h</sup>in] was so common

This is a reaction against the stigmatized glottal stop in *moun*[?in].



#### FALSE

false, Olsen, salsa, else, also, balsamic, Chelsea, Wilson, Nelson, pulse, allspice... Henceforth, the FALSE lexical set Utah pronunciation: [łts]

Baker, Eddington & Nay (2009) Pooled with [t]-intrustion in /ns/ clusters (*prince = prints, presence = presents*). Considered stereotypically Utahn by non-Utahns.

Here, we just focus on [t]-intrustion in /ls/ clusters, because perhaps more Utahn.

## COMMENTARY ON "FALSE"

Relatively little overt commentary, but negative.

- Live Coug My least favorite Utah accent is the adding of a T to certain words/names. We all know about the dropping of the T, but they add too.
- FN Phat My wife hates when her family in Utah pronounces her name Chelt-see.
- LiveCoug Yup. Our daughter's name is the same way. I just want to scream "there is no T in her name!!!!!" Comments in a thread on cougarstadium.com. January 13, 2013.

### DISTRIBUTION OF "FALSE" VARIANTS



# FAL[t]SE

Only 6 of 14 Utahns had the non-standard form. 3 of these were just once

pseudonym	[łts]	age	religion	education	city
Heather	16/44 = 36.4%	34	Mormon	BA	Salt Lake City
Mandy	4/30 = 13.3%	40	non-Mormon	HS	Ephraim
Maddy	2/13 = 15.4%	24	Mormon	some college	South Jordan

Only Heather had it to any significant degree. She also used *moun*[?in] the most and *moun*[t<sup>h</sup>in]



## [k]-EPENTHESIS

Epenthesized [k] after /ŋ/

Mainstream pronunciation: [Iŋ] or [In] Utah pronunciation: [Iŋk] or [Iŋg]

Baker, Eddington & Nay (2009) did not find it in their sample.

Little overt commentary. Mostly neutral in tone.

The thing I noticed when I moved to Utah was the "k" sound at the end of "ing" (like "sing-ingk"). -Christiane Rampato, comment on HJnews.com. Aug. 2016

## [K]-EPENTHESIS DISTRIBUTION



# TALK[iŋk]

Who used this form?

6 of 14 people used [ɪŋk] at least once. None with any degree of significance.

pseudonym	[łts]	age	religion	education	city	
Maddy	3/81 = 3.7%	24	Mormon	some college	South Jordan	
Jamie	2/74 = 2.7%	33	Mormon	some college	American Fork	
Tim	5/82 = 6.1%	39	Mormon	BA	Cedar Valley	and the second
Sam	4/160 = 2.5%	22	non-Mormon	some college	Cedar Valley	

## SUMMARY

MOUNTAIN

standard *moun*[?n] was the most common hyperarticulated *moun*[t<sup>h</sup>in] twice as common as *moun*[?in] possibly as reaction to stigmatized variant FALSE no clear pattern, but evident in the data [K]-EPENTHESIS not enough data, possibly because of the unnatural/formal setting

### CONCLUSION

Nonmainstream forms can be found in Utah English.

These are not captured by the demographic variables we gathered.

We encourage more research on consonantal variation and Utah English generally.

### References

Baker, Wendy & David Bowie. 2010. Religious affiliation as a correlate of linguistic behavior. University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics 15(2). 2. Baker, Wendy, David Eddington & Lyndsey Nay. 2009. Dialect Identification: The Effects of Region of Origin and Amount of Experience. American Speech 84(1). 48–71. doi:10.1215/00031283-2009-004. Bowie, David. 2003. Early development of the card-cord merger in Utah. American speech 78(1). 31–51. Bowie, David. 2008. Acoustic characteristics of Utah's CARD-CORD merger. American Speech 83(1). 35-61. doi:10.1215/00031283-2008-002. Bowie, David. 2012. Early Trends in a Newly Developing Variety of English. Dialectologia 8. 27-47. Di Paolo, Marianna. 1992. Hypercorrection in response to the apparent merger of (2) and (α) in Utah english. Language & Communication 12(3). 267–292. doi:10.1016/0271-5309(92)90017-4. Di Paolo, Marianna & Alice Faber. 1990. Phonation differences and the phonetic content of the tense-lax contrast in Utah English. Language Variation and Change 2(02). 155–204. doi:10.1017/S0954394500000326. Eddington, David & Matthew Savage. 2012. Where Are the Moun[?a]ns in Utah? American Speech 87(3). 336–349. doi:10.1215/00031283-1958345. Helguist, Val J. 1970. A study of one phonological variable in urban and rural Utah. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Unpublished Master's Thesis. Kim, Chaeyoon, Sravana Reddy, Ezra Wyschogrod & James Stanford. 2016. A large-scale online study of dialect variation in the US Northeast: Crowdsourcing with Amazon Mechanical Turk. Paper presented at the New Ways of Analyzing Variation 45, Victoria, BC. Lillie, Diane DeFord. 1998. The Utah dialect survey. Provo: Brigham Young University Master's Thesis. Morkel, Wendy McCollum. 2003. Tracing a sound pattern: /ay/-monophthongization in Utah English. Provo, Utah: Brigham Young University Unpublished Master's Thesis. Petersen, Michèle. 1988. Phonetic Variation and Change: An Analysis of steel and still. Deseret Language and Linguistic Society Symposium 14(1). http://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/dlls/vol14/iss1/6. Reeves, Larkin Hopkins. 2009. Patterns of vowel production in speakers of American English from the state of Utah. Provo, Utah: Brigham Young University Master's Thesis. Sarver, Daniel A. 2004. The transferability of Utah English characteristics: Second dialect (D2) acquisition in Utah. Honors Thesis. Savage, David. 2014. How We Feel About How We Talk: A Language Attitude Survey of Utah English. Master's Thesis. http://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/etd/5578. Skyes, Robert D. 2010. A Sociophonetic Study of (at) in Utah English. University of Utah Master's Thesis. Stanley, Joseph A. 2017. Data Collection in Dialectology using Amazon Mechanical Turk. Unpublished manuscript. Stanley, Joseph A. & Margaret E. L. Renwick. 2016. Phonetic shift /or/ phonemic change? American English mergers over 40 years. Poster presentation at LabPhon15, Ithaca, New York.

Joseph A. Stanley

University of Georgia @joey\_stan

joeystanley.com

Kyle Vanderniet University of Georgia

Special thanks University of Georgia Graduate School Innovative and Interdisciplinary Research Grant for funding the data collection.

This slideshow available at joeystanley.com/lcuga4